

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF WISCONSIN

---

JEFF POFF,

ORDER

Plaintiff,

v.

22-cv-238-wmc

JANET FISCHER,

Defendants.

---

Plaintiff Jeff Poff is proceeding in this civil action on claims that defendant Lieutenant Janet Fischer used excessive force against him during a range-of-motion check on July 14, 2020, at the Wisconsin Secure Program Facility. At plaintiff's request, the court recruited counsel Jennifer Gregor and Max Lenz of the law firm of Godfrey & Kahn in Madison, Wisconsin, to represent him *pro bono* for the remainder of this civil action. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) ("The court may request an attorney to represent any person unable to afford counsel."); *Pruitt v. Mote*, 503 F.3d 647, 653-54 (7th Cir. 2007) (en banc) (noting that § 1915(e)(1) confers, at most, discretion "to recruit a lawyer to represent an indigent civil litigant *pro bono publico*"). Accordingly, the court will enter his appearance as plaintiff's *pro bono* counsel for the record.

The next step is for the court to hold a status conference to reset the trial date in this case. Plaintiff's counsel should contact the Waupun Correctional Institution for purposes of consulting with plaintiff in the preparation of his case whether by phone and/or in person. So that counsel will have sufficient time to consult with plaintiff in advance of the conference, the clerk's office will be directed to set that conference in early-May as the court's schedule allows.

Finally, plaintiff should appreciate that his counsel took on this representation out of a sense of professional responsibility, which includes representing zealously those clients they take on. Now that he is represented by counsel, plaintiff is advised that in return for

representation plaintiff, too, has taken on a responsibility. For example, all future communications with the court must be through his attorney of record. Plaintiff must also work directly and cooperatively with his attorneys, as well as those working at their direction, and must permit them to exercise their professional judgment to determine which matters are appropriate to bring to the court's attention and in what form. Plaintiff does not have the right to require counsel to raise frivolous arguments or to follow every directive he makes. On the contrary, plaintiff should expect his counsel to tell him what he *needs* to hear, rather than what he might prefer to hear, and understand that the rules of professional conduct may preclude counsel from taking certain actions or permitting plaintiff from doing so.

If plaintiff decides at some point that he does not wish to work with his lawyers, he is free to alert the court and end their representation, but he should be aware that it is highly unlikely that the court will recruit a second set of attorneys to represent him.

ORDER

IT IS ORDERED that the clerk's office enter Jennifer Gregor and Max Lenz of the law firm of Godfrey & Kahn as plaintiff's *pro bono* counsel of record and to set this case for a status conference with Judge Boor in early-May as the court's schedule allows.

Entered this 15th day of April, 2025.

BY THE COURT:

/s/

WILLIAM M. CONLEY  
District Judge